Protass Law PLLC

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT 260 Madison Avenue 22nd Floor New York, NY 10016

T: 212-455-0335 F: 646-607-0760 hprotass@protasslaw.com

December 13, 2019

VIA ECF

Honorable Paul A. Crotty United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

12/23/2019 This applicate his numerit. The Court's
Novaha 19, 2019 order needs no
Clarification, the sale should proceed

Do ordered

Re:

United States v. Purna Aramalla, Case No. 14-CR-247 (PAC)

Dear Judge Crotty:

Paul Muth

This firm was recently engaged to represent Usha Aramalla in connection with the referenced matter. Mrs. Aramalla is the wife of defendant Purna Aramalla. I write today to seek clarification of an Order that this Court entered on November 19, 2019 (Docket No. 81) relating to the sale of the home that Mr. and Mrs. Aramalla jointly own. A copy of this Court's November 19, 2019 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Background

On July 25, 2014 Mr. Aramalla pled guilty before the Honorable James C. Francis to one count of conspiracy to commit wire fraud and health care fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1349) and one count of attempted tax evasion (28 U.S.C. § 7201). In connection therewith, Mr. Aramalla and the government entered into a plea agreement (attached hereto as Exhibit 2) and this Court entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture (Docket No. 23). The foregoing documents provided (among other things) for forfeiture by Mr. Aramalla of approximately \$7,500,000, the collateralization of that forfeiture obligation by the home that Mr. and Mrs. Aramalla jointly own (and live in) and the forfeiture of that home if Mr. Aramalla did not pay such \$7,500,000 in forfeiture within three years of sentencing. The foregoing documents further provided for restitution by Mr. Aramalla of approximately \$7,500,000 and that any funds paid towards Mr. Aramalla's \$7,500,000 forfeiture obligation would be used to satisfy his \$7,500,000 restitution obligation.

On March 26, 2015 this Court sentenced Mr. Aramalla principally to three years imprisonment. Mr. Aramalla did not thereafter satisfy his \$7,500,000 forfeiture/restitution obligation during the course of the three years that followed his sentencing hearing. Thus, and